

\$ sciendo Vol. 28(3),2020, 89–102

Matrix Manipulations for Properties of Pell *p*-Numbers and their Generalizations

Özgür Erdağ, Ömür Deveci and Anthony G. Shannon

Abstract

In this paper, we define the Pell-Pell *p*-sequence and then we discuss the connection of the Pell-Pell *p*-sequence with Pell and Pell *p*-sequences. Also, we provide a new Binet formula and a new combinatorial representation of the Pell-Pell *p*-numbers by the aid of the *n*th power of the generating matrix the Pell-Pell *p*-sequence. Furthermore, we obtain an exponential representation of the Pell-Pell *p*-numbers and we develop relationships between the Pell-Pell *p*-numbers and their permanent, determinant and sums of certain matrices.

1 Introduction

The well-known Pell sequence $\{P_n\}$ is defined by the following recurrence relation:

 $P_{n+2} = 2P_{n+1} + P_n$ for $n \ge 0$ in which $P_0 = 0$ and $P_1 = 1$.

The generalized Pell (p, i)-numbers $\{P_p(n)\}$ for any given p(p = 1, 2, 3, ...) is defined [14] by the following recurrence equation:

$$P_{p}^{(i)}(n) = 2P_{p}^{(i)}(n-1) + P_{p}^{(i)}(n-p-1)$$

for n > p+1 and $0 \le i \le p$, with initial conditions $P_p^{(i)}(1) = \cdots = P_p^{(i)}(i) = 0$ and $P_p^{(i)}(i+1) = \cdots = P_p^{(i)}(p+1) = 1$. When i = 0 and p = 1, the

Key Words: Pell sequence, Pell p-sequence, Matrix, Representation. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11K31; 11C20; 15A15. Received: 31.10.2019. Accepted: 09.01.2020.

generalized Pell (p, i)-numbers $\{P_p(n)\}$ is reduced to the usual Pell sequence $\{P_n\}$.

It is easy to see that the characteristic polynomials of the Pell sequence and the Pell *p*-sequence are $f_1(x) = x^2 - 2x - 1$ and $f_2(x) = x^{p+1} - 2x^p - 1$, respectively. We use these in the next section.

Let the (n + k)th term of a sequence be defined recursively by a linear combination of the preceding k terms:

$$a_{n+k} = c_0 a_n + c_1 a_{n+1} + \dots + c_{k-1} a_{n+k-1}$$

in which $c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{k-1}$ are real constants. In [13], Kalman derived a number of closed-form formulas for the generalized sequence by the companion matrix method as follows:

Let the matrix A be defined by

$$A = [a_{i,j}]_{k \times k} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_{k-2} & c_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

then

$$A^{n} \begin{bmatrix} a_{0} \\ a_{1} \\ \vdots \\ a_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{n} \\ a_{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ a_{n+k-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

for $n \ge 0$.

Number theoretic properties such as those obtained from homogeneous linear recurrence relations relevant to this paper have been studied recently by many authors: see for example, [2, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 21, 22, 23, 26]. In [1, 6, 7, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 24, 25, 27], the authors defined some linear recurrence sequences and gave their various properties by matrix methods. In this paper, we discuss connections between the Pell and Pell *p*-numbers. Firstly, we define the Pell-Pell *p*-sequence and then we give recurrence relation among this sequence, the Pell and Pell *p*-sequences. Also, we give the relations between the generating matrix of the Pell-Pell *p*-numbers and the elements of the Pell-Pell *p*-sequences. Furthermore, using the generating matrix of the Pell-Pell *p*-numbers such as the Binet formula and the combinatorial representations. Finally, we obtain an exponential representation of the Pell-Pell *p*-numbers

and we derive relationships between the Pell-Pell *p*-numbers and their sums, and permanents and, determinants of certain matrices.

2 The Main Results

Now we define the Pell-Pell *p*-sequence by the following homogeneous linear recurrence relation for any given p(3, 4, 5, ...) and $n \ge 0$

$$P_{n+p+3}^{P,p} = 4P_{n+p+2}^{P,p} - 3P_{n+p+1}^{P,p} - 2P_{n+p}^{P,p} + P_{n+2}^{P,p} - 2P_{n+1}^{P,p} - P_n^{P,p}$$
(1)

in which $P_0^{P,p} = \cdots = P_{p+1}^{P,p} = 0$ and $P_{p+2}^{P,p} = 1$. First, we consider the relationship between the above the Pell-Pell *p*sequence, Pell and Pell *p*-sequences.

Theorem 2.1. Let P_n , $P_p(n)$ and $P_n^{P,p}$ be the nth Pell number, Pell p-number and Pell-Pell p-numbers, respectively, then

$$P_{n} = P_{n+p-1}^{P,p} - P_{n}^{P,p} + P_{p} \left(n + p - 1 \right)$$

for $p \geq 3$ and $n \geq 0$.

Proof. The assertion may be proved by induction on n. It is clear that $P_0 =$ $P_{p-1}^{P,p} - P_0^{P,p} + P_p(p-1) = 0$. Suppose that the equation holds for n > 0. Then we must show that the equation holds for n+1. Since the characteristic polynomial of the Pell-Pell *p*-sequence $\{P_n^{P,p}\}$, is

$$q(x) = x^{p+3} - 4x^{p+2} + 3x^{p+1} + 2x^p - x^2 + 2x + 1$$

and

$$q\left(x\right) = f_1\left(x\right)f_2\left(x\right)$$

where $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$ are the characteristic polynomials of the Pell sequence and the Pell *p*-sequence, respectively, we obtain the following relations:

$$P_{n+p+3} = 4P_{n+p+2} - 3P_{n+p+1} - 2P_{n+p} + P_{n+2} - 2P_{n+1} - P_{n+2}$$

and

$$P_{p}\left(n+p+3\right)=4P_{p}\left(n+p+2\right)-3P_{p}\left(n+p+1\right)-2P_{p}\left(n+p\right)+P_{p}\left(n+2\right)-2P_{p}\left(n+1\right)-P_{p}\left(n\right)$$

for n > 0. Thus, the conclusion is obtained.

From the recurrence relation (1), we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_{n+p+2}^{P,p} \\ P_{n+p+1}^{P,p} \\ P_{n+p}^{P,p} \\ \vdots \\ P_{n}^{P,p} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -3 & -2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{n+p+3}^{P,p} \\ P_{n+p+2}^{P,p} \\ P_{n+p+1}^{P,p} \\ \vdots \\ P_{n+1}^{P,p} \end{bmatrix}$$

for the Pell-Pell *p*-sequence $\{P_n^{P,p}\}$. Now we define

	Γ4	-3	-2	0		0	0	1	-2	-1	
	1	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	
	0	1	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	
	0	0	1	0	• • •	0	0	0	0	0	
	0	0	0	1	• • •	0	0	0	0	0	
$A_p =$	·	·	·	·	۰.		÷	÷	÷	÷	
	0	0	0	0		1	0	0	0	0	
	0	0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	
	0	0	0	0		0	0	1	0	0	
	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	1	0	$(p+3)\times(p+3).$

The companion matrix $A_p = [a_{i,j}]_{(p+3)\times(p+3)}$ is said to be the Pell-Pell *p*-matrix. For more details on the companion type matrices, see [19, 20]. From induction on n, we get

ſ	$\begin{smallmatrix}P^{P,p}\\n+p+2\\P^{P,p}\\n+p+1\\P^{P,p}\\n+p\end{smallmatrix}$	$-4P_{n+p+1}^{P,p} + P_n + P_{n-p+1} -4P_{n+p}^{P,p} + P_{n-1} + P_{n-p} P_{n+p}^{P,p} + P_{n-1} + P_{n-p}$	$-2P_{n+p+1}^{P,p} + P_{p}(n+1) -2P_{n+p}^{P,p} + P_{p}(n) P_{n+p} + P_{p}(n)$	$P_p(n+2) \cdots P_p(n+1) \cdots$
$(A_p)^n =$	$P_{n+p}^{P,p}$	$\begin{array}{c} -4P_{n+p-1}^{P,p+1}+P_{n-2}+P_{n-p-1}\\ \vdots\\ -4P_{n}^{P,p}+P_{n-p-1}+P_{n-2p}\\ -4P_{n-1}^{P,p}+P_{n-p-2}+P_{n-2p-1}\end{array}$	$-2P_{n+p-1}^{I,p} + P_p(n-1)$	$\begin{array}{ccc} P_p(n) & \cdots & A_p^* \\ \vdots & & \\ P_p(n-p+1) \cdots \\ P_p(n-p) & \cdots \end{array}$

for $n \geq 2p+1$, where

$$A_{p}^{*} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{p}\left(n+p-1\right) & -2P_{n+p+1}^{P,p} - P_{n+p}^{P,p} & -P_{n+p+1}^{P,p} \\ P_{p}\left(n+p-2\right) & -2P_{n+p}^{P,p} - P_{n+p-1}^{P,p} & -P_{n+p}^{P,p} \\ P_{p}\left(n+p-3\right) & -2P_{n+p-1}^{P,p} - P_{n+p-2}^{P,p} & -P_{n+p-1}^{P,p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ P_{p}\left(n-2\right) & -2P_{n}^{P,p} - P_{n-1}^{P,p} & -P_{n}^{P,p} \\ P_{p}\left(n-3\right) & -2P_{n-1}^{P,p} - P_{n-2}^{P,p} & -P_{n-1}^{P,p} \end{bmatrix}$$

It is clear that $det A_p = (-1)^{p+1}$.

In [14], Kılıc gave a Binet formula for the Pell *p*-numbers by matrix method. Now we concentrate on finding another Binet formula for the Pell-Pell *p*-numbers by the aid of the matrix $(A_p)^n$.

Lemma 2.1. The characteristic equation of all the Pell-Pell p-numbers $x^{p+3} - 4x^{p+2} + 3x^{p+1} + 2x^p - x^2 + 2x + 1 = 0$ does not have multiple roots for $p \ge 3$. Proof. It is clear that $x^{p+3} - 4x^{p+2} + 3x^{p+1} + 2x^p - x^2 + 2x + 1 = (x^{p+1} - 2x^p - 1) (x^2 - 2x - 1)$. In [14], it was shown that the equation $x^{p+1} - 2x^p - 1 = 0$ does not have multiple roots for p > 1. It is easy to see that the roots of the equation $x^2 - 2x - 1 = 0$ are $1 + \sqrt{2}$ and $1 - \sqrt{2}$. Since $(1 + \sqrt{2})^{p+1} - 2(1 + \sqrt{2})^p - 1 \neq 0$ and $(1 - \sqrt{2})^{p+1} - 2(1 - \sqrt{2})^p - 1 \neq 0$ for p > 1, the equation $x^{p+3} - 4x^{p+2} + 3x^{p+1} + 2x^p - x^2 + 2x + 1 = 0$ does not have multiple roots for $p \ge 3$.

Let $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{p+3}$ be the roots of the equation $x^{p+3} - 4x^{p+2} + 3x^{p+1} + 2x^p - x^2 + 2x + 1 = 0$ and let V_p be a $(p+3) \times (p+3)$ Vandermonde matrix as follows:

$$V_p = \begin{bmatrix} (x_1)^{p+2} & (x_2)^{p+2} & \dots & (x_{p+3})^{p+2} \\ (x_1)^{p+1} & (x_2)^{p+1} & \dots & (x_{p+3})^{p+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_{p+3} \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Assume that $V_p(i, j)$ is a $(p+3) \times (p+3)$ matrix derived from the Vandermonde matrix V_p by replacing the j^{th} column of V_p by $W_p(i)$, where, $W_p(i)$ is a $(p+3) \times 1$ matrix as follows:

$$W_p = \begin{bmatrix} (x_1)^{n+p+3-i} \\ (x_2)^{n+p+3-i} \\ \vdots \\ (x_{p+3})^{n+p+3-i} \end{bmatrix}$$

Theorem 2.2. Let p be a positive integer such that $p \ge 3$ and let $(A_p)^n = [a_{i,j}^{(p,n)}]$ for $n \ge 1$, then

$$a_{i,j}^{(p,n)} = \frac{\det V_p^{(i,j)}}{\det V_n}.$$

Proof. Since the equation $x^{p+3} - 4x^{p+2} + 3x^{p+1} + 2x^p - x^2 + 2x + 1 = 0$ does not have multiple roots for $p \ge 3$, the eigenvalues of the Pell-Pell *p*matrix A_p are distinct. Then, it is clear that A_p is diagonalizable. Let $D_p =$ $diag(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{p+3})$, then we may write $A_pV_p = V_pD_p$. Since the matrix V_p is invertible, we obtain the equation $(V_p)^{-1}A_pV_p = D_p$. Therefore, A_p is similar to D_p ; hence, $(A_p)^n V_p = V_p (D_p)^n$ for $n \ge 1$. So we have the following linear system of equations:

$$\begin{cases} a_{i,1}^{(p,n)} (x_1)^{p+2} + a_{i,2}^{(p,n)} (x_1)^{p+1} + \dots + a_{i,p+3}^{(p,n)} = (x_1)^{n+p+3-i} \\ a_{i,1}^{(p,n)} (x_2)^{p+2} + a_{i,2}^{(p,n)} (x_2)^{p+1} + \dots + a_{i,p+3}^{(p,n)} = (x_2)^{n+p+3-i} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i,1}^{(p,n)} (x_{p+3})^{p+2} + a_{i,2}^{(p,n)} (x_{p+3})^{p+1} + \dots + a_{i,p+3}^{(p,n)} = (x_{p+3})^{n+p+3-i} .\end{cases}$$

Then we conclude that

$$a_{i,j}^{(p,n)} = \frac{\det V_p^{(i,j)}}{\det V_p}$$

/· · · ·

for each $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, p + 3$.

Thus by Theorem 2.2 and the matrix $(A_p)^n$, we have the following useful result for the Pell-Pell *p*-numbers.

Corollary 2.3. Let p be a positive integer such that $p \ge 3$ and let $P_n^{P,p}$ be the nth element of Pell-Pell p-number, then

$$P_n^{P,p} = \frac{\det V_p \left(p+3,1\right)}{\det V_p}$$

and

$$P_n^{P,p} = -\frac{\det V_p \left(p+2, p+3\right)}{\det V_p}$$

for $n \geq 1$.

It is easy to see that the generating function of the Pell-Pell *p*-sequence $\{P_n^{P,p}\}$ is as follows:

$$g^{(p)}(x) = \frac{x^{p+2}}{1 - 4x + 3x^2 + 2x^3 - x^{p+1} + 2x^{p+2} + x^{p+3}},$$

where $p \ge 3$.

Then we can give an exponential representation for the Pell-Pell p-numbers by the aid of the generating function with the following Theorem.

Theorem 2.4. The Pell-Pell p-sequences $\{P_n^{P,p}\}$ have the following exponential representation:

$$g^{(p)}(x) = x^{p+2} \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(x)^{i}}{i} \left(4 - 3x - 2x^{2} + x^{p} - 2x^{p+1} - x^{p+2}\right)^{i}\right),$$

where $p \ge 3$.

Proof. Since

$$\ln g^{(p)}(x) = \ln x^{p+2} - \ln \left(1 - 4x + 3x^2 + 2x^3 - x^{p+1} + 2x^{p+2} + x^{p+3}\right)$$

and

$$-\ln\left(1-4x+3x^{2}+2x^{3}-x^{p+1}+2x^{p+2}+x^{p+3}\right) = -\left[-x\left(4-3x-2x^{2}+x^{p}-2x^{p+1}-x^{p+2}\right)-\frac{1}{2}x^{2}\left(4-3x-2x^{2}+x^{p}-2x^{p+1}-x^{p+2}\right)^{2}-\cdots-\frac{1}{i}x^{i}\left(4-3x-2x^{2}+x^{p}-2x^{p+1}-x^{p+2}\right)^{i}-\cdots\right]$$

it is clear that

$$g^{(p)}(x) = x^{p+2} \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(x)^{i}}{i} \left(4 - 3x - 2x^{2} + x^{p} - 2x^{p+1} - x^{p+2}\right)^{i}\right)$$

by a simple calculation, we obtain the conclusion.

Let $K(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_v)$ be a $v \times v$ companion matrix as follows:

$$K(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_v) = \begin{bmatrix} k_1 & k_2 & \cdots & k_v \\ 1 & 0 & & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Theorem 2.5. (Chen and Louck [4]) The (i, j) entry $k_{i,j}^{(n)}(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_v)$ in the matrix $K^n(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_v)$ is given by the following formula:

$$k_{i,j}^{(n)}(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_v) = \sum_{(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_v)} \frac{t_j + t_{j+1} + \dots + t_v}{t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_v} \times \binom{t_1 + \dots + t_v}{t_1, \dots, t_v} k_1^{t_1} \cdots k_v^{t_v}$$
(2)

where the summation is over nonnegative integers satisfying $t_1 + 2t_2 + \cdots + vt_v = n - i + j$, $\binom{t_1 + \cdots + t_v}{t_1, \dots, t_v} = \frac{(t_1 + \cdots + t_v)!}{t_1! \cdots t_v!}$ is a multinomial coefficient, and the coefficients in (2) are defined to be 1 if n = i - j.

Then we can give other combinatorial representations than for the Pell-Pell *p*-numbers by the following Corollary.

Corollary 2.6. i.

$$P_n^{P,p} = \sum_{(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{p+3})} {\binom{t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_{p+3}}{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{p+3}}} 4^{t_1} (-3)^{t_2} (-2)^{t_3 + t_{p+2}} (-1)^{t_{p+3}}, \ (n \ge 1)$$

where the summation is over nonnegative integers satisfying $t_1 + 2t_2 + \cdots + (p+3) t_{p+3} = n-p-2$.

$$P_n^{P,p} = -\sum_{\substack{(t_1,t_2,\ldots,t_{p+3})}} \frac{t_{p+3}}{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}} \times \binom{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}}{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_{p+3}} 4^{t_1} (-3)^{t_2} (-2)^{t_3 + t_{p+2}} (-1)^{t_{p+3}} + \frac{t_{p+3}}{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}} \times \binom{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}}{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_{p+3}} 4^{t_1} (-3)^{t_2} (-2)^{t_3 + t_{p+2}} (-1)^{t_{p+3}} + \frac{t_{p+3}}{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}} \times \binom{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}}{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_{p+3}} 4^{t_1} (-3)^{t_2} (-2)^{t_3 + t_{p+2}} (-1)^{t_{p+3}} + \frac{t_{p+3}}{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}} \times \binom{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}}{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_{p+3}} 4^{t_1} (-3)^{t_2} (-2)^{t_3 + t_{p+2}} (-1)^{t_{p+3}} + \frac{t_{p+3}}{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}} \times \binom{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}}{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}} + \frac{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}}{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}} + \frac{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}}{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}} + \frac{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}}{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}} + \frac{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}}{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}} + \frac{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}}{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}} + \frac{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}}{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}} + \frac{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}}{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}} + \frac{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}}{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}} + \frac{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}}{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}} + \frac{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}}{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}} + \frac{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}}{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}} + \frac{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}}{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}} + \frac{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}}{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}} + \frac{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}}{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}} + \frac{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}}{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}} + \frac{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}}{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}} + \frac{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}}{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}} + \frac{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}}{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}} + \frac{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}}{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}} + \frac{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}}{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}} + \frac{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}}{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}} + \frac{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}}{t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{p+3}}}$$

 $n \ge 1$, where the summation is over nonnegative integers satisfying $t_1 + 2t_2 + \cdots + (p+3) t_{p+3} = n+1$.

Proof. If we take i = p + 3, j = 1 for the case i. and i = p + 2, j = p + 3 for the case ii. in Theorem 2.5, then we can directly see the conclusions from $(A_p)^n$.

Now we consider the permanental representations for the Pell-Pell *p*-numbers.

Definition 2.1. A $u \times v$ real matrix $M = [m_{i,j}]$ is called a contractible matrix in the k^{th} column (resp. row.) if the k^{th} column (resp. row.) contains exactly two non-zero entries.

Suppose that x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_u are row vectors of the matrix M. If M is contractible in the k^{th} column such that $m_{i,k} \neq 0, m_{j,k} \neq 0$ and $i \neq j$, then the $(u-1) \times (v-1)$ matrix $M_{ij:k}$ obtained from M by replacing the i^{th} row with $m_{i,k}x_j + m_{j,k}x_i$ and deleting the j^{th} row. The k^{th} column is called the contraction in the k^{th} column relative to the i^{th} row and the j^{th} row.

In [3], Brualdi and Gibson obtained that per(M) = per(N) if M is a real matrix of order $\alpha > 1$ and N is a contraction of M.

Now we concentrate on finding relationships among the Pell-Pell *p*-numbers and the permanents of certain matrices which are obtained by using the generating matrix of the Pell-Pell *p*-numbers. Let $P_{m,p}^P = \left[p_{i,j}^{(p)}\right]$ be the $m \times m$

super-diagonal matrix, defined by

$$p_{i,j}^{(p)} = \begin{cases} 4 & \text{if } i = r \text{ and } j = r \text{ for } 1 \leq r \leq m, \\ & \text{if } i = r+1 \text{ and } j = r \text{ for } 1 \leq r \leq m-1 \\ 1 & \text{and} \\ & i = r \text{ and } j = r+p \text{ for } 1 \leq r \leq m-p, \\ -1 & \text{if } i = r \text{ and } j = r+p+2 \text{ for } 1 \leq r \leq m-p-2, \\ & \text{if } i = r \text{ and } j = r+2 \text{ for } 1 \leq r \leq m-2 \\ -2 & \text{and} \\ & i = r \text{ and } j = r+p+1 \text{ for } 1 \leq r \leq m-p-1, \\ -3 & \text{if } i = r \text{ and } j = r+1 \text{ for } 1 \leq r \leq m-1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}, \text{ for } m \geq p+3.$$

Then we have the following Theorem.

Theorem 2.7. For $m \ge p+3$,

$$perP_{m,p}^P = P_{m+p+2}^{P,p}.$$

Proof. Let us consider the matrix $P_{m,p}^P$ and let the equation be hold for $m \ge p+3$. Then we show that the equation holds for m+1. If we expand the $perP_{m,p}^P$ by the Laplace expansion of permanent with respect to the first row, then we obtain

$$perP^{P}_{m+1,p} = 4perP^{P}_{m,p} - 3perP^{P}_{m-1,p} - 2perP^{P}_{m-2,p} + perP^{P}_{m-p,p} - 2perP^{P}_{m-p-1,p} - perP^{P}_{m-p-2,p}.$$

Since

$$per P_{m,p}^{P} = P_{m+p+2}^{P,p},$$

$$per P_{m-1,p}^{P} = P_{m+p+1}^{P,p},$$

$$per P_{m-2,p}^{P} = P_{m+p}^{P,p},$$

$$per P_{m-p,p}^{P} = P_{m+2}^{P,p},$$

$$per P_{m-p-1,p}^{P} = P_{m+1}^{P,p}$$

and

$$perP^P_{m-p-2,p} = P^{P,p}_m$$

it is clear that $perP^P_{m+1,p} = P^{P,p}_{m+p+3}$. So the proof is complete.

$$\text{Let } R^{P}_{m,p} = \begin{bmatrix} r^{(p)}_{i,j} \end{bmatrix} \text{ be the } m \times m \text{ matrix, defined by} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 4 & \text{if } i = r \text{ and } j = r \text{ for } 1 \leq r \leq m - p - 1, \\ & \text{if } i = r \text{ and } j = r \text{ for } m - p \leq r \leq m, \\ 1 & i = r + 1 \text{ and } j = r \text{ for } 1 \leq r \leq m - p - 2 \\ & \text{and} \\ & i = r \text{ and } j = r + p \text{ for } 1 \leq r \leq m - p, \\ -1 & \text{if } i = r \text{ and } j = r + p \text{ for } 1 \leq r \leq m - p - 2, \\ & \text{if } i = r \text{ and } j = r + p \text{ for } 1 \leq r \leq m - p - 1, \\ -2 & \text{and} \\ & i = r \text{ and } j = r + p + 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq r \leq m - p - 1, \\ -3 & \text{if } i = r \text{ and } j = r + 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq r \leq m - p - 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$$

Then we have the following Theorem.

Theorem 2.8. For $m \ge p+3$,

$$perR_{m,p}^P = P_{m+1}^{P,p}$$

Proof. Let us consider the matrix $R_{m,p}^P$ and let the equation hold for $m \ge p+3$. Then we show that the equation holds for m+1. If we expand $per R_{m,p}^P$ by the Laplace expansion of permanent according to the first row, then we obtain

 $perR^{P}_{m+1,p} = 4perR^{P}_{m,p} - 3perR^{P}_{m-1,p} - 2perR^{P}_{m-2,p} + perR^{P}_{m-p,p} - 2perR^{P}_{m-p-1,p} - perR^{P}_{m-p-2,p}.$

Also, since

$$\begin{split} per R^{P}_{m,p} &= P^{P,p}_{m+1}, \\ per R^{P}_{m-1,p} &= P^{P,p}_{m}, \\ per R^{P}_{m-2,p} &= P^{P,p}_{m-1}, \\ per R^{P}_{m-p,p} &= P^{P,p}_{m-p+1}, \\ per R^{P}_{m-p-1,p} &= P^{P,p}_{m-p} \end{split}$$

and

$$perR^P_{m-p-2,p} = P^{P,p}_{m-p-1},$$

it is clear that $per R^P_{m+1,p} = P^{P,p}_{m+2}$. So the proof is complete.

Assume that $S_{m,p}^P = \left[s_{i,j}^{(p)}\right]$ be the $m \times m$ matrix, defined by

$$S_{m,p}^{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & & & & & \\ 0 & & & & & \\ \vdots & & R_{m-1,p}^{P} & & \\ 0 & & & & & \end{bmatrix}, \text{ for } m > p+3,$$

then we have the following results:

Theorem 2.9. For m > p + 3,

$$perS^P_{m,p} = \sum_{i=0}^m P^{P,p}_i.$$

Proof. If we expand the $perS_{m,p}^P$ with respect to the first row, we write

$$perS_{m,p}^P = perS_{m-1,p}^P + perR_{m-1,p}^P.$$

Thus, by the results and an inductive argument, the proof is easily seen. \Box

A matrix M is called convertible if there is an $n \times n$ (1, -1)-matrix K such that $perM = \det(M \circ K)$, where $M \circ K$ denotes the Hadamard product of M and K.

Now we give relationships among the Pell-Pell *p*-numbers and the determinants of certain matrices which are obtained by using the matrix $P_{m,p}^P$, $R_{m,p}^P$ and $S_{m,p}^P$. Let m > p+3 and let H be the $m \times m$ matrix, defined by

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Corollary 2.10. For m > p + 3,

$$\det\left(P_{m,p}^{P}\circ H\right)=P_{m+p+2}^{P,p},$$

and

$$\det\left(S_{m,p}^{P}\circ H\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} P_{i}^{P,p}.$$

 $\det\left(R_{m,p}^{P}\circ H\right) = P_{m+1}^{P,p},$

Proof. Since $perP_{m,p}^P = \det(P_{m,p}^P \circ H)$, $perR_{m,p}^P = \det(R_{m,p}^P \circ H)$ and $perS_{m,p}^P = \det(S_{m,p}^P \circ H)$ for m > p + 3, by Theorem 2.7, Theorem 2.8 and Theorem 2.9, we have the conclusion.

Now we consider the sums of the Pell-Pell *p*-numbers. Let

$$S_n = \sum_{i=0}^n P_i^{P,p}$$

for $n \geq 1$ and let Y_P^p and $(Y_P^p)^n$ be the $(p+4) \times (p+4)$ matrices such that

If we use induction on n, then we obtain

$$(Y_P^p)^n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ S_{n+p+1} & & & & & \\ S_{n+p} & & & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & (A_p)^n & & \\ S_{n+1} & & & & & & \\ S_n & & & & & & \\ S_{n-1} & & & & & & \\ \end{bmatrix}, \text{ for } p \ge 3.$$

References

- Y. Akuzum, O. Deveci and A. G. Shannon, On the Pell p-circulant Sequences, Notes on Number Theory and Disc. Math., 23 (2017), no. 2, 91-103.
- [2] B. Bradie, Extension and refinements of some properties of sums involving Pell number, Missouri J.Math. Sci., 22 (2010), no. 1, 37-43.

- [3] R. A. Brualdi and P. M. Gibson, Convex polyhedra of doubly stochastic matrices I: applications of permanent function, J. Combin. Theory, Series A, 22 (1997), no. 2, 194-230.
- [4] W. Y. C. Chen and J. D. Louck, The combinatorial power of the companion matrix, Linear Algebra Appl., 232 (1996), 261-278.
- [5] R. Devaney, The Mandelbrot set and the Farey tree, and the Fibonacci sequence, Amer. Math. Monthly, 106 (1999), 289-302.
- [6] O. Deveci, The Pell-Circulant Sequences and Their Applications, Maejo Int. J. Sci. Technol., 10 (2016), no. 03, 284-293.
- [7] O. Deveci and E. Karaduman, On the Padovan p-numbers, Hacettepe J. Math. Stat., 46 (2017), no. 4, 579-592.
- [8] D. D. Frey and J. A. Sellers, Jacobsthal numbers and alternating sign matrices, J. Integer Seq., 3 (2000), Article 00.2.3.
- [9] N. Gogin and A. A. Myllari, The Fibonacci-Padovan sequence and MacWilliams transform matrices, Programing and Computer Software, published in Programmirovanie, 33 (2007), no. 2, 74-79.
- [10] A. F. Horadam, Jacobsthal representations numbers, Fibonacci Quart., 34 (1996), 40-54.
- [11] A. F. Horadam, Applications of modified Pell numbers to representations, Ulam Quart., 3 (1994), no. 1, 34-53.
- [12] B. Johnson, Fibonacci identities by matrix methods and generalisation to related sequences, http://maths.dur.ac.uk/~dma0rcj/PED/fib.pdf, March 25, 2003.
- [13] D. Kalman, Generalized Fibonacci numbers by matrix methods, Fibonacci Quart., 20 (1982), no. 1, 73-76.
- [14] E. Kilic, The generalized Pell (p,i)-numbers and their Binet formulas, combinatorial representations, sums, Chaos, Solitons Fractals, 40 (2009), no. 4, 2047-2063.
- [15] E. Kilic, The Binet fomula, sums and representations of generalized Fibonacci p-numbers, Eur. J. Comb., 29 (2008), 701–711.
- [16] E. Kilic and D.Tasci, The generalized Binet formula, representation and sums of the generalized order-k Pell numbers, Taiwanese J. Math., 10 (2006), no. 6, 1661-1670.
- [17] E. G. Kocer, The Binet formulas for the Pell and Pell-Lucas p-numbers, Ars Comb., 85 (2007), 3-17.
- [18] F. Koken and D. Bozkurt, On the Jacobsthal numbers by matrix methods, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences. 3 (2008), no. 13, 605-614.

- [19] P. Lancaster and M. Tismenetsky, The theory of matrices: with applications, Elsevier, 1985.
- [20] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, Introduction to finite fields and their applications, Cambridge UP, 1986.
- [21] W. L. McDaniel, Triangular numbers in the Pell sequence, Fibonacci Quart., 34 (1996), 105-107.
- [22] A. G. Shannon, P. G. Anderson and A. F. Horadam, Properties of cordonnier Perrin and Van der Lan numbers, Internat. J. Math. Ed. Sci. Tech., 37 (2006), no. 7, 825-831.
- [23] A. G. Shannon, A. F. Horadam and P. G. Anderson, *The auxiliary equa*tion associated with plastic number, Notes on Number Theory and Disc. Math., **12** (2006), no. 1, 1-12.
- [24] A.P. Stakhov, A generalization of the Fibonacci Q-matrix, Rep. Natl. Acad. Sci. Ukraine, 9 (1999), 46-49.
- [25] A. P. Stakhov, B. Rozin, Theory of Binet formulas for Fibonacci and Lucas p-numbers, Chaos, Solitions Fractals, 27 (2006), 1162-77.
- [26] I. Stewart, Tales of a neglected number, Sci. Amer. 274 (1996), 102-103.
- [27] D. Tasci and M. C. Firengiz, *Incomplete Fibonacci and Lucas p-numbers*, Math. Comput. Modell., **52** (2010), 1763-1770.

Özgür Erdağ, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Letters, Kafkas University,36100-Kars, Turkey. Email: ozgur_erdag@hotmail.com

Ömür Deveci, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Letters, Kafkas University,36100-Kars, Turkey. Email: odeveci36@hotmail.com

Anthony G. Shannon, Faculty of Engineering & IT, University of Technology, Sydney, NSW 2007, Australia. Email: tshannon38@gmail.com